

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
WESTERN DIVISION**

**RAEGENEA BLAND,**  
Plaintiff,

vs.

**GC SERVICES, LP; and DOES 1 through  
10, inclusive,**  
Defendant.

**Civil Action No.:**

**COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY  
TRIAL**

**COMPLAINT**

***I. INTRODUCTION***

1. This is an action for statutory damages brought by Plaintiff, RaeGenea Bland, an individual consumer, against Defendant, GC Services, LP, for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* (hereinafter “FDCPA”), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

***II. JURISDICTION***

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1337. Venue in this District is proper in that the Defendant transacts business here.

***III. PARTIES***

3. Plaintiff, RaeGenea Bland, is a natural person with a permanent residence in Memphis, Shelby County, Tennessee 38116.
4. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, GC Services, LP, is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting debt in this state and in several other states, with its principal place of business located at 6330 Gulfton St, Suite 300, Houston, Harris County, Texas

77081. The principal purpose of Defendant is the collection of debts in this state and several other states, and Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.

5. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect consumer debts alleged to be due to another. Defendant is a “debt collector” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

#### ***IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS***

6. The debt that Defendant is attempting to collect on is an alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment.
7. On or about November 18, 2012, Defendant, in connection with the collection of the alleged debt, contacted Plaintiff and threatened to garnish Plaintiff’s wages.
8. Defendant has no standing to commence garnishment proceedings on behalf of the creditor.
9. Defendant is a debt collection company and as a debt collection company attempting to collect an alleged debt, Defendant can only refer the matter back to the creditor with a recommendation that the original creditor attempt legal proceedings which could result in garnishment.
10. The representations made to Plaintiff by Defendant regarding garnishment were false.
11. The natural consequences of Defendant’s statements and actions were to unjustly condemn and vilify Plaintiff for the non-payment of the debt she allegedly owed.

12. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions were to produce an unpleasant and/or hostile situation between Defendant and Plaintiff.

***V. CLAIM FOR RELIEF***

13. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference to the foregoing paragraphs.

14. Defendants violated the FDCPA. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to, the following:

(a) Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by using a false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of the alleged debt; and

(b) Defendant violated §1692e(4) of the FDCPA by giving the false representation or implication that nonpayment of the alleged debt will result in the garnishment of wages of any person when such action is unlawful and the Defendant does not intend to take such action; and

(c) Defendant violated §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using false representation or deceptive means in connection with the collection the alleged debt.

15. Defendant's acts as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.

///

///

///

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant, GC Services, LP, for the following:

- A. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
- B. Costs and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
- C. Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law.
- D. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, RaeGenea Bland, demands trial by jury in this action.

DATED: February 14, 2013

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: /s/Shireen Hormozdi  
Shireen Hormozdi  
Hormozdi Law Firm, LLC  
1770 Indian Trail Lilburn Road  
Suite 175  
Norcross, GA 30093  
Tel: 678-395-7795  
Tel: 323-988-2400 x267  
Fax: 866-929-2434  
shireen@hormozdilaw.com  
*Counsel for Plaintiff,*  
*RaeGenea Bland*